

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,768	10/29/2001	Eric H. Baehrecke	4115-131	3246
23448	7590 05/28/2004	EXAMINER		INER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW			DAVIS, MINH TAM B	
PO BOX 14329 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
122025.111011	,		1642	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/016,768	BAEHRECKE, ERIC H.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		l		
Period fo	• •				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the provision	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	March 1104.			
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□	Claim(s) 1-3,13,18,20-24 and 26-33 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13, 18, 21, 23-24, 29 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,20,22 and 26-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	9-33 is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	, ,		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	o priority updor 25 LLC C S 110/o) (d) or (f)		
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant cancels claims 4-5 and adds new claims 26-33.

Applicant asserts that the new claims include product and methods of use claims, wherein the methods of use claims recite all the limitation of the product claims.

Applicant asserts that when the product claims are found allowable, Applicant requests rejoining of the method of use claims.

Since applicant has elected group 2, the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1, 2 and 8, for action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, the embodiments of claims 29-33 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b) and M.P.E.P. 821.03. Newly submitted claims 29-33 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The inventions are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (i) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (ii) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [see MPEP § 806.05(h)]. In the instant case the polypeptide product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as for biochemical assay.

Art Unit: 1642

Accordingly, claims 1-3, 20, 22 and 26-28 are examined in the instant application.

New claims 29-33 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected invention.

It is noted that any rejoining of the method claims would be considered only at the time of allowance, if the pending claims are allowable.

Claim 26 seems to be free of prior art and is allowable.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH, ENABLEMENT

Claims 1-3, 20, 22 remain rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for reasons already of record in paper of 12/11/03.

New claims 27-28 are rejected for the same reasons already of record.

A. Claims 1-3, 20, 22, 27 are not enabled for a polypeptide that induces cell death in vitro consisting of SEQ ID NO:1 or 2 or a variant thereof.

Rejection remains because Applicant does not address this issue.

It is noted that SEQ ID NO:1 is only a fragment of full length Drosophila E93 sequence, and that SEQ ID NO:2 is only a fragment of SEQ ID NO:8. Although the full length sequence Drosophila E93 and SEQ ID NO:8, could induce cell death in vitro, there is no indication that their fragments, SEQ ID NO: 1 or 2 alone could induce cell death, in view that protein chemistry is highly unpredictable, and that a change of even a single amino acid could dramatically affect the biological activity of a protein, as taught by Bowie et al, Burgess et al and Lazar et al, all of record, and further in view that it is

Art Unit: 1642

well known in the art that not any fragment of a protein is responsible for the function of a protein.

B. Claims 20, 28 are not enabled for a variant of SEQ ID NO:2 or 8.

Applicant asserts that the claims were amended to have the following four parameters:

- 1) At least 90% homology to SEQ ID NO:2.
- 2) A conserved carboxy end region having an amino acid sequence of amino acid residues of 39-53 of SEQ ID NO:2,
 - 3) Conservative changes in any amino acid substitutions, and
 - 4) induces cell death.

Applicant asserts that determination of homology, and of an amino acid linear sequence is routine in the art. Applicant asserts that conservative substitution is well known in the art. Applicant asserts that one can screen for the variants for inducing cell death.

Applicant's arguments in paper of 03/11/04 have been considered but are found not to be persuasive for the following reasons:

It is noted that there is no indication that the carboxy end region of any length, having amino acid residues 39-53 of SEQ ID NO:2, by itself alone could induce cell death.

It is further noted that the claims reads on a variant of SEQ ID NO:2 having 1) 90% homology to SEQ ID NO:2, 2) a conserved carboxy end region of any length, having amino acid residues 39-53 of SEQ ID NO:2, 3) conserved substitutions, wherein

Art Unit: 1642

said variant could have "any deletion or addition" at any amino acids outside of the conserved carboxy end, and wherein the effect of the deletion or addition on the function of SEQ ID NO:2 is not predictable, in view of the teaching of the art that protein chemistry is highly unpredictable, and that a change of even a single amino acid could dramatically affect the biological activity of a protein, as taught by Bowie et al, Burgess et al and Lazar et al, all of record.

The same reasons set forth above and in previous Office action apply as well to the variant of SEQ ID NO:8 as claimed in claim 28.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TAM DAVIS whose telephone number is 571-272-0830. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-4:00PM.

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHRISTINA CHAN can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MINH TAM DAVIS

May 24, 2004

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D